

**Memorandum
Fair Political Practices Commission**

To: FPPC Chair Ravel, and Commissioners Garrett, Eskovitz, Montgomery and Rotunda

From: Zackery P. Morazzini, General Counsel
Lawrence T. Woodlock, Senior Commission Counsel

Subject: Pending Litigation

Date: September 28, 2011

ProtectMarriage.Com et al. v. Bowen et al.

This action was filed on January 9, 2009 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California by plaintiffs ProtectMarriage.com - Yes on 8, a Project of California Renewal and National Organization for Marriage California - Yes on 8, Sponsored by National Organization for Marriage. It is a “defendants class action” lawsuit against defendants responsible either for enforcement of the Act, or maintenance and publication of the campaign reports at issue in this case (including the Commission, Attorney General, Secretary of State and various district and city attorneys). The Commission defendants were formally served on January 14, 2009.

Plaintiffs challenge the Act’s campaign disclosure requirements on contributions to ballot measure committees as unconstitutional. They cite a variety of adverse actions against persons who supported Proposition 8, which was on the November 2008 ballot, alleging that some of these persons were identified through campaign contribution information made public as required by the Act’s campaign reporting and disclosure provisions. The Complaint seeks to permanently enjoin the future disclosure of all of plaintiffs’ contributors, expunge the records of all of plaintiffs’ past contributors, and to invalidate as unconstitutional the Act’s \$100 disclosure threshold for contributors to ballot measure committees, the Act’s requirement for post-election disclosure of contributors to ballot measure committees, and the Act’s failure to purge the records of contributors to ballot measure committees after the election. In all counts, plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief, and an award of attorney’s fees.

Plaintiffs moved for a preliminary injunction on shortened time, which was heard on January 29, 2009 before District Judge Morrison C. England, Jr. The court denied plaintiffs’ motion from the bench, concluding that plaintiffs had failed to demonstrate the probability of success on the merits or the likelihood of irreparable injury necessary to support a preliminary injunction. The court issued a written order to this effect on January 30. On February 3, 2009 the Commission defendants timely filed their Answer to Plaintiffs’ Second Amended Complaint. On May 15, 2009 the court issued the Scheduling Order to set the timing of further proceedings and on May 27, 2009 the court issued another order granting Plaintiffs’ motion, not opposed by

Defendants, to file a Third Amended Complaint adding the National Organization for Marriage California PAC to the list of Plaintiffs. The Answer to this Complaint was filed on June 5, 2009.

On June 3, 2009 Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Class Certification and a Motion for Summary Judgment, together with supporting documents. Defendants filed Notices of Non-Opposition to the Class Certification Motion, and on June 10, 2009 filed a Motion seeking denial of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the alternative, continuance of the hearing date under Rule 56. On June 24, 2009 the Court issued an Order denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment, and on August 6, 2009 advised that it would decide class certification without oral argument. The parties reached agreement on class certification and, on November 9, 2009 filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order, entered by the Court on November 25, 2009.

On August 25, 2011 Plaintiffs served a Motion for Summary Judgment. Defendants filed their Response and a Cross-Motion on September 15, 2011. District Judge Morrison C. England, Jr. will hear argument on these motions at 2 p.m. on October 20, 2011.

Michelle Berman and Adrienne Lauby v. Fair Political Practices Commission

On December 15, 2010, Michelle Berman and Adrienne Lauby filed a Verified Petition for Writs of Mandate in the Superior Court of Sacramento, California. Petitioners seek relief from the Default Decisions and Orders in cases of Michelle Berman, FPPC Case 10/115, and Adrienne Lauby, FPPC Case 10/116, asking the Court to set aside the Default Decisions and Orders in these cases and to require the Fair Political Practices Commission ("Commission") to accept the Notices of Defense and to grant a hearing in these matters. Additionally, Plaintiffs seek an award of attorney fees.

On January 6, 2011, the Commission filed a Demurrer to the Verified Petition for Writs of Mandate, a Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and a Notice of the Demurrer. A hearing on the Demurrer was scheduled for March 18, 2011. On March 14, the Commission withdrew its Demurrer based on the fact that Petitioners had now exhausted their administrative remedies.

On February 28, 2011, Michelle Berman and Adrienne Lauby filed a second Verified Petition for Writs of Mandate in the Superior Court of Sacramento, California, seeking relief from the Default Decisions and Orders in the cases of Michelle Berman, FPPC Case 10/115, and Adrienne Lauby, FPPC Case 10/116. Petitioners ask the Court to set aside the Default Decisions and Orders in these cases and to require the Commission to accept the Notices of Defense and to grant a hearing in these matters. Additionally, Plaintiffs seek an award of attorney fees. The Commission was served with this Verified Petition for Writs of Mandate on March 22, 2011. Plaintiffs seek to consolidate the two Verified Petitions for Writs of Mandate. The Commission has agreed to stipulate to consolidate the two cases into one case.

On March 23, 2011, Petitioners filed a Notice of Related Case. On April 4, 2011, the Court determined that Superior Court Case Numbers 34-2010-80000740 and 34-2011-80000800 are related and assigned both cases to Superior Court Judge Allen Sumner.

On March 22, 2011, Petitioners requested the Administrative Record in the Enforcement Matters of Michelle Berman, FPPC Case 10/115, and Adrienne Lauby, FPPC Case 10/116. On April 18, 2011, the Enforcement Division served Petitioners with the Administrative Record. Further, on April 19, 2011, the Enforcement Division filed Answers to both Superior Court Case Numbers 34-2010-80000740 and 34-2011-80000800.

On or about July 7, 2011, the Superior Court of Sacramento, California, assigned Superior Court Case Number 34-2010-80000740 the new Superior Court Case Number 34-2011-80000800. Superior Court Case Number 34-2011-80000800 must be used on all future filings and correspondence.

Tim Foley v. Fair Political Practices Commission

On May 11, 2011, Tim Foley filed a Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate in the Superior Court of Sacramento, California. Petitioner seeks relief from the Default Decision and Order in the case of Tim Foley, FPPC Case 10/117, asking the Court to set aside the Default Decision and Order in this case and to require the Fair Political Practices Commission (“Commission”) to accept the Notice of Defense and to grant a hearing in this matter. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks an award of attorney fees. The Commission was served with this Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate on May 13, 2011.

On June 9, 2011, the Enforcement Division filed an Answer to Superior Court Case Number 34-2011-80000852.