1 2 3 4 5	GALENA WEST Chief of Enforcement JENNA C. RINEHART Commission Counsel FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION 1102 Q Street, Suite 3000 Sacramento, CA 95811 Telephone: (916) 323-6302 Email: JRinehart@fppc.ca.gov		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7	Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission		
8			
9	BEFORE THE FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of:	FPPC Case No. 16/20081	
12	HEMET TAXPAYERS	STIPULATION, DECISION AND ORDER	
13	ASSOCIATION AND ROBERT		
14	RIGHETTI,		
15	Respondents.		
16			
17	INTRODUCTION		
18	Respondent, Hemet Taxpayers Association (the "Committee"), is a city general purpose		
19	committee that campaigned against the passage of Measure U, a local ballot measure. Measure U was		
20	adopted in the November 8, 2016, General Election. Respondent, Robert Righetti ("Righetti"), served as		
21	the Committee's treasurer.		
22	The Political Reform Act (the "Act") ¹ requires committees and treasurers to present disclosure		
23	statements in a clear and conspicuous manner on any advertisements produced by a committee to		
24	support or oppose a ballot measure. The Committee and Righetti violated the Act by failing to present it		
25	disclosure statement in a clear and conspicuous manner on advertisements.		
26			
27 28	¹ The Political Reform Act – sometimes simply referred to as the Act – is contained in Government Code sections 81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to this code. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations. All regulatory references are to this source.		
		1	

2

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

///

25

26

27

28

SUMMARY OF THE LAW

The Act and its regulations are amended from time to time. The violations in this case occurred in 2016. For this reason, all legal references and discussions of law pertain to the Act's provisions as they existed at that time.

Need for Liberal Construction and Vigorous Enforcement of the Political Reform Act

When enacting the Act, the people of California found and declared that previous laws regulating political practices suffered from inadequate enforcement by state and local authorities.² Thus, it was decreed the Act should be liberally construed to accomplish its purposes.³ A central purpose of the Act is to promote transparency by ensuring that receipts and expenditures in election campaigns are fully and truthfully disclosed so that voters are fully informed and improper practices are inhibited.⁴ Another purpose of the Act is to provide adequate enforcement mechanisms so that the Act will be "vigorously enforced."⁵

Independent Expenditure

"Independent expenditure" means an expenditure made by any person in connection with a communication which expressly advocates the defeat of a clearly identified measure but which is not made to or at the behest of the affected committee.⁶

Advertisement

"Advertisement" means any general or public advertisement which is authorized and paid for by a committee for the purpose of opposing a ballot measure.⁷

Advertisement Disclosure

An advertisement opposing a ballot measure, that is paid for by an independent expenditure, shall include a disclosure statement that identifies the name of the committee making the independent expenditure.⁸

² Section 81001, subdivision (h).

³ Section 81003.

⁴ Section 81002, subdivision (a).

⁵ Section 81002, subdivision (f).

⁶ Section 82031.

⁷ Section 84501, subdivision (a).

⁸ Section 84506.

above, or immediately adjacent to and in front of, the required identification. Any disclosures shall be presented in a clear and conspicuous manner to give the reader adequate notice of the identity of the committee that paid for the communication. All disclosure statements on over-sized print media shall constitute at least 5% of the height of the advertisement and printed in a contrasting color.

Disclosures shall include "paid for by" in the same manner as, and immediately adjacent to and

Joint and Several Liability of Committee and Treasurer

It is the duty of a committee treasurer to ensure the committee complies with the Act. ¹² A treasurer may be held jointly and severally liable, along with the committee and candidate, for violations committed by the committee. ¹³

Liability for Violations

Any person who violates any provision of the Act, who purposely or negligently causes any other person to violate any provision of the Act, or who aids and abets any other person in the violation of any provision of the Act, is liable for administrative penalties up to \$5,000 per violation.¹⁴

SUMMARY OF THE FACTS

This case was opened in response to a sworn complaint received on October 25, 2016, alleging the Committee and Righetti violated the Act by failing to comply with the minimum height requirements for advertisement disclosures on over-sized print media. The Enforcement Division investigated and determined the Committee had made an independent expenditure for an advertisement to oppose Measure U. The Committee purchased and released a black billboard, approximately 4 feet high and 8 feet wide, with white lettering that stated "NO "U" DON'T". There was a white strip in the bottom half of the billboard with black lettering that stated "NO to Union Control". Underneath "Union Control", within the white strip, the Committee included a disclosure statement in black letters, approximately ³/₄ of an inch in height. The disclosure statement stated, "Paid for by Hemet Taxpayers Association – 140 E. Stetson Avenue #266, Hemet, CA 92545 – FPPC# 1333112."

⁹Regulation 18450.4, subdivision (b)(1).

¹⁰ Section 84507 and Regulation 18450.4, subdivision (b)(3).

¹¹ Regulation 18450.4, subdivision (b)(3)(D).

¹² Sections 81004, 84100, and Regulation 18427.

¹³ Sections 83116.5 and 91006.

¹⁴ Sections 83116 and 83116.5.

The disclosure statement on the Committee's billboard properly included the name of the committee and the "paid for by" language but failed to meet the height requirements to give the reader adequate notice of the identity of the committee that paid for the advertisement. The Committee's billboard advertisement was approximately 4 feet high. To meet the 5% height requirement the disclosure on the Committee's billboard advertisement would have to be approximately 2.4 inches tall (4 feet x 12 inches = 48 inches x 5% = 2.4 inches). The disclosure statement on the Committee's billboard advertisement was approximately $\frac{3}{4}$ of an inch in height.

On October 25, 2016, 14 days before the election, the Committee received notice of the sworn complaint including the alleged violation of failing to meet the minimum height requirement on its advertisement disclosures. The Committee did not amend the disclosure statement on its billboard prior to the pertinent election.

VIOLATIONS

Count 1: Failure to Present Disclosure Statement in a Clear and Conspicuous Manner

The Committee and Righetti failed to include a disclosure statement on its over-sized print media that met the 5% height requirement, in violation of Government Code Section 84507 and California Code of Regulations 18450.4, subdivision (b)(3)(D).

PROPOSED PENALTY

This matter consists of one count. The maximum penalty that may be imposed is \$5,000 per count. Thus, the maximum penalty that may be imposed here is \$5,000.¹⁵

In determining the appropriate penalty for a particular violation of the Act, the Commission considers the facts of the case, the public harm involved, and the purposes of the Act. Further, the Commission considers factors such as: (a) the seriousness of the violation; (b) the presence or absence of any intention to conceal, deceive or mislead; (c) whether the violation was deliberate, negligent or inadvertent; (d) whether the violation was isolated or part of a pattern; (e) whether corrective amendments voluntarily were filed to provide full disclosure; and (f) whether the violator has a prior record of violations.¹⁶

²⁸ Section 83116, subdivision (c).

¹⁶ Regulation 18361.5, subdivision (d).

In this case, the evidence supports that there was no intent to conceal, deceive or mislead the public as to the source of the billboard advertisement at issue here as the Committee and Righetti placed the full disclosure statement on the billboard in a contrasting color from the background. The violation here appears to be inadvertent, as the Committee and Righetti were recently charged for violating the same provision of the Act. As discussed in length below, on October 20, 2016, the Commission approved a penalty for failing to include the proper disclosure statements on advertisements, specifically the Committee was informed of the height requirement for disclosure statements on advertisements.

The Commission considers penalties in prior cases with the same or similar violations and comparable facts.

In the Matter of Hemet Taxpayers Association; FPPC No. 16/426. Respondent, a city general purpose committee, failed to include any disclosure statements on advertisements published by the Committee. Prior to receiving contact from the Enforcement Division, Respondent had attempted to add the missing disclosures to each of its signs by adding a sticker that contained the disclosures. The added disclosures did not meet the 5% height requirement so Respondents agreed to the Enforcement Division's suggestion for issuance of a press release prior to the pertinent election. On October 20, 2016, the Commission approved a penalty of \$2,000.

In the Matter of Fullerton Taxpayers for Reform, Tony Bushala, and Jack Dean; FPPC No. 16/422. Respondent, a city general purpose committee, failed to meet the minimum height requirements for its disclosure statement included on campaign signs and failed to include the required disclaimer that the advertisement was not authorized or paid for by a candidate. Following contact from the Enforcement Division, Respondent removed all of the campaign signs and replaced them with new signs that contained the proper disclosures two days prior to the June 7, 2016 election. On April 20, 2017, the Commission approved a penalty of \$2,500.

A similar penalty than that approved in the *Fullerton Taxpayers* case is recommended. Similar to the case here, the prior *Hemet* and *Fullerton Taxpayers* case involved violations of the advertisement provisions regarding the 5% height requirement on over-sized print media. At the time the Committee and Righetti were designing and purchasing the over-sized print media at issue here, the Committee and

Righetti were in settlement negotiations with the Enforcement Division. The Committee and Righetti knew or should have known of the 5% height requirement for disclosures on over-sized print media.

Unlike *Hemet* and *Fullerton Taxpayers*, the Committee and Righetti did not amend the disclosure statement prior to the election despite its notice from the Enforcement Division of the sworn complaint. In mitigation, unlike *Hemet* and *Fullerton Taxpayers*, the disclosure statement at issue here complied with all of the advertisement provisions aside from the height requirement. Therefore, a penalty of \$2,500 is recommended.

Under these circumstances, it is respectfully submitted that imposition of an agreed upon penalty in the amount of \$2,500 is justified.

CONCLUSION

Complainant, the Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission, and Respondents Hemet Taxpayers Association and Robert Righetti hereby agrees as follows:

- 1. Respondents violated the Act as described in the foregoing pages, which are a true and accurate summary of the facts in this matter.
- 2. This stipulation will be submitted for consideration by the Fair Political Practices

 Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
- 3. This stipulation resolves all factual and legal issues raised in this matter for the purpose of reaching a final disposition without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the liability of Respondents pursuant to Section 83116.
- 4. Respondents understand, and hereby knowingly and voluntarily waive, any and all procedural rights set forth in Sections 83115.5, 11503, 11523, and Regulations 18361.1 through 18361.9. This includes, but is not limited to the right to appear personally at any administrative hearing held in this matter, to be represented by an attorney at Respondents' own expense, to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying at the hearing, to subpoena witnesses to testify at the hearing, to have an impartial administrative law judge preside over the hearing as a hearing officer, and to have the matter judicially reviewed.

1	5. Respondents agree to the issuance of the decision and orders set forth below. Also,		
2	Respondents agree to the Commission imposing against them an administrative penalty in the		
3	amount of \$2,500. One or more cashier's checks or money orders totaling said amount – to be		
4	paid to the General Fund of the State of California – is/are submitted with this stipulation as full		
5	payment of the administrative penalty described above, and same shall be held by the State of		
6	California until the Commission issues its decision and order regarding this matter.		
7	6. If the Commission refuses to approve this stipulation – then this stipulation shall become		
8	null and void, and within fifteen business days after the Commission meeting at which the		
9	stipulation is rejected, all payments tendered by Respondents in connection with this stipulation		
10	shall be reimbursed to Respondents. If this stipulation is not approved by the Commission, and if		
11	a full evidentiary hearing before the Commission becomes necessary, neither any member of the		
12	Commission, nor the Executive Director, shall be disqualified because of prior consideration of		
13	this stipulation.		
14	7. The parties to this agreement may execute their respective signature pages separately. A		
15	copy of any party's executed signature page, including a hardcopy of a signature page		
16	transmitted via fax or as a PDF email attachment, is as effective and binding as the original.		
17			
18			
19	Dated: Galena West, Chief of Enforcement		
20	Fair Political Practices Commission		
21			
22			
23	Dated: Pohert Dighetti Treesurer of Hemet Teyrovers Association		
24	Robert Righetti, Treasurer of Hemet Taxpayers Association		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	7		
I			

1	The foregoing stipulation of the parties "In the Matter of Hemet Taxpayers Association and	
2	Robert Righetti," FPPC Case No. 16/20081, is hereby accepted as the final decision and order of the Fair	
3	Political Practices Commission, effective upon execution by the Chair.	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6		
7	Dated: Richard C. Miadich, Chair	
8	Fair Political Practices Commission	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
2324		
25		
26		
27		
28		